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We investigate the zero-temperature BCS to Bose-Einstein crossover at the mean-field level, by driving it with the attractive potential and the particle density. We emphasize specifically the role played by the particle density in this crossover. Three different interparticle potentials are considered for the continuum model in three spatial dimensions, while both s- and d-wave solutions are analyzed for the attractive (extended) Hubbard model on a two-dimensional square lattice. For this model the peculiar behavior of the crossover for the d-wave solution is discussed. In particular, in the strong-coupling limit when approaching half-filling we evidence the occurrence of strong correlations among antiparallel-spin fermions belonging to different composite bosons, which give rise to a quasi-long-range antiferromagnetic order in this limit.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Following the pioneering works by Eagles and Leggett, the BCS to Bose-Einstein (BE) crossover has been widely studied in the last several years, being motivated by the occurrence of a short coherence length in high-temperature superconductors. The evolution from large overlapping Cooper pairs (BCS limit) to small nonoverlapping bosons (BE limit) has essentially been envisaged by relying on the associated two-body problem in the three-dimensional case, wherein bound fermion pairs (composite bosons) form as soon as the strength of the attractive interparticle potential exceeds a threshold. The emphasis on the role of the interparticle potential has, however, somewhat overshadowed the effects of the particle density on the crossover itself, even though on physical grounds one would expect both the interparticle potential and the density to play an essential role. The role played by the density is suggested especially when one analyzes the experimental phase diagram of the high-temperature copperate superconductors in terms of the BCS-BE crossover, since in this case it would be the (effective) carrier density (that is related to the doping level) to drive the system from the vicinity of the BE (underdoped) to the BCS (overdoped) limit.

The purpose of this paper is to study the combined effects of the particle density and the interparticle potential on the (zero-temperature) BCS-BE crossover, in order to characterize how physical quantities evolve by varying, in particular, the particle density. To this end, we will set up a “phase diagram” in the space of the potential strength and of the density, where the locations of the alternative BCS-like, crossoverlike, and BE-like regions will be identified for several types of potentials.

Previous work on the BCS-BE crossover has utilized: (i) a three-dimensional contact potential for the continuum model; (ii) the separable potential introduced by Nozières and Schmitt-Rink (NSR) for the three-dimensional continuum model, with a characteristic momentum cutoff $k_0$, and (iii) a negative-U Hubbard model on a two-dimensional square lattice, with either an on-site or a nearest-neighbor attraction. In this paper, we shall consider both the continuum model in three spatial dimensions using three different types of interparticle potentials, and the s- and d-wave solutions for the attractive (extended) Hubbard model on a two-dimensional square lattice. This will enable us to study the effects of the particle density on the BCS-BE crossover in a rather systematic way.

For the continuum case, it turns out that the finite range of the potential allows for the occurrence of the density-induced BCS-BE crossover, which is instead not possible in the case of a contact (zero-range) potential. As a consequence, the size of the BCS-like region in the “phase diagram” gets progressively enlarged by increasing the range of the interparticle potential.

For the lattice case, the shape of the “phase diagram” and the physical interpretation of the alternative regions therein depend markedly on the symmetry (s or d) of the pairing. In particular, for the d-wave pairing an increasingly larger range of correlations among the composite bosons sets up when approaching half-filling, thus establishing a tendency toward the formation of a quasi-long-range–ordered antiferromagnetic state. In addition, the d-wave pairing, being associated with an interaction of a finite range on the lattice, enables the density-induced BCS-BE crossover to occur over a wider range of the parameters with respect to the s-wave pairing, in analogy to what was found for the continuum case.

All results presented in this paper have been obtained...
within a zero-temperature broken-symmetry mean-field approach, which thus appears capable of producing results that are sensible on physical grounds under widely different physical conditions.

The plan of the paper is as follows. We discuss the three-dimensional continuum case in Sec. II and the two-dimensional lattice case in Sec. III. Section IV gives our conclusions.

II. THREE-DIMENSIONAL CONTINUUM CASE

In this section, we examine the three-dimensional BCS-BE crossover in the continuum case for three types of interaction potentials, and determine how the range of the potential influences the coupling vs density "phase diagram." Specifically, we consider the contact and the separable NSR potentials mentioned in the Introduction, plus a nonseparable Gaussian potential.

For the three-dimensional continuum case, a contact potential has often been adopted as the reference model that captures the essence of the expected physics of the BCS-BE crossover, as a function of the coupling strength for given particle density. For a contact potential, the analytic solution at the (zero-temperature) mean-field level and with the inclusion of Gaussian fluctuations has been determined, for all values of the coupling strength (regularized in terms of the scattering length $a$ of the associated two-body problem) and of the density (represented in terms of the Fermi wave vector $k_F$). All relevant physical quantities can thus be expressed in terms of the dimensionless parameter $k_Fa$, with $k_F$ being positive by definition and $a$ changing its sign as soon as a bound state develops. For this reason, by keeping $k_F$ fixed and varying $a$ from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ one can pass with continuity from the BCS to the BE regime across the crossover region; on the contrary, by keeping $a$ fixed and varying $k_F$ one is not able to pass from the BCS to the BE regime, since the parameter $k_Fa$ cannot change its sign in this way. Figure 1 shows the $(g,k_Fa)$ "phase diagram" for a contact potential in three dimensions, as obtained from the available analytic solution, where BCS-like, crossoverlike, and BE-like regions are identified by drawing the two curves corresponding to $k_F\xi_{pair}=2\pi$ and $k_F\xi_{pair}=1/\pi$. Here, $\xi_{pair}$ represents the correlation length for pairs of opposite-spin fermions, while the two values $(2\pi,1/\pi)$ of the parameter $k_F\xi_{pair}$ characterize, in the order, the lower limit of the BCS-like region (with large overlapping Cooper pairs) and the upper limit of the BE-like region (with small nonoverlapping bosons), the crossover region being constrained in between. The (effective) coupling constant in this case is represented by $g = \exp(a_0/a)$, where $a_0$ is an arbitrary unit of length. As anticipated above, it is evident from this "phase diagram" that for a contact potential in three dimensions it is not possible to cross over from the BCS to the BE region by varying the density alone at fixed coupling strength.

In the three-dimensional continuum case, therefore, to examine the density-induced BCS-BE crossover a potential with finite range in real space is required, or equivalently, it is necessary to introduce a momentum cutoff $k_0$ in momentum space. In this context, one may utilize the separable potential $V(k,k')=V_w(k)w(k')$ (between fermions with opposite spins) adopted in Ref. 3, with $V<0$ and $w(k)=[1+(|k|/k_0)^2]^{-1/2}$, and later used by some authors. Since this potential may yield unphysical results also because the factorization $w(k)w(k')$ is somewhat arbitrary, we have considered in addition a nonseparable potential $V(k,k')=V(k-k')$, which we have taken for definiteness of the Gaussian form:

$$V(k-k')=V\exp(-|k-k'|^2/k_0^2)$$

(V<0). By doing so, it will also be possible to determine how alternative ways of introducing an effective range in the interaction potential affect the boundaries of the crossover region in the $\langle|V|/V_c,k_F/k_0\rangle$ "phase diagram" ($V_c$ being the critical value of $|V|$ for which a bound state appears in the two-body problem). In addition, and contrary to the separable NSR potential, the Gaussian potential (2.1) leads to momentum-decoupling effects for small values of $k_0$, which have recently been proposed as characteristic features of high-temperature superconductors.

For the NSR and Gaussian potentials, an analytic solution for the (zero-temperature) BCS-BE crossover at the mean-field level is lacking. For these potentials, we have thus solved numerically the coupled equations for the $s$-wave gap function $\Delta(k)$ and the chemical potential $\mu$:

$$\Delta(k) = -\int \frac{dk'}{(2\pi)^3} V(k,k') \frac{\Delta(k')}{2E(k')}$$

and

$$n = \int \frac{dk'}{(2\pi)^3} \left( 1 - \frac{\xi(k')}{E(k')} \right),$$

where $\xi(k)=k^2/(2m)-\mu$ ($m$ being the fermionic mass), $E(k)=\sqrt{\xi(k)^2+\Delta(k)^2}$, and $n$ is the particle density. We recall that, while for a separable potential the gap function acquires the form $\Delta(k)=\Delta_0w(k)$, for a nonseparable potential $\Delta(k)$ does not follow, in general, the wave-vector dependence of the potential. In addition, for the NSR separable potential analytic expressions for $\Delta_0$ and $\mu$ can be obtained in the two (BCS and BE) limits. Figure 2 shows the $\langle|V|/V_c, \,$
It is further interesting to note that the three curves of Fig. 2(a) and of Fig. 2(b) depart from the common origin \(|V|/V_c = 1, k_F = 0\). Near this origin, in fact, \(k_F \ll k_0\) and the gap \(\Delta_0 = \Delta(k = 0)\) on the BCS side is proportional to \((k_F/2m)^{\exp[\pi/(2k_Fa)]}\), as given by the solution for a contact potential.\(^5\)\(^\text{13}\) Since \(\xi_{\text{pair}} \approx k_F/\Delta_0\) in the BCS limit,\(^14\) keeping the product \(k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} \approx \exp(-\pi/(2ka)) = \text{const.}\), requires \(a \to -\infty\) when \(k_F \to 0\). This, in turn, implies that the curves corresponding to \(k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} \approx 2\pi\) depart from the point \(|V| = V_c\) on the \(k_F = 0\) axis. On the BE side, on the other hand, when \(k_F \ll k_0\) (and \(|V| > V_c\)), \(\xi_{\text{pair}}\), coincides with the bound-state radius \(r_0\),\(^14\) keeping thus constant the product \(k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} = k_Fr_0\) when \(k_F \to 0\) implies \(r_0 \to \infty\), i.e., \(|V| \to V_c\).

The reentrant shape of the curve \(k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} = 2\pi\) in Fig. 2 at \(k_F = k_0\), too, can be understood by simple analytic arguments as follows. When \(k_F \ll k_0\), the expression \(\Delta_0/\mu = \exp(-\pi/(2ka))\), which is valid on the BCS side for a contact potential, can again be used. At fixed value of \(|V| < V_c\) (such that the scattering length \(a\) is negative), \(\Delta_0/\mu\) vanishes when \(k_F \to 0\). In this way, one approaches the (weak-coupling) BCS limit for decreasing \(k_F\) at fixed \(a < 0\). When \(k_F = k_0\), on the other hand, it is necessary to distinguish the NSR from the Gaussian potential. For the NSR potential, the value of \(\Delta(k_F) = \Delta_0 w(k_F)\) can be obtained analytically in the BCS limit (and \(k_F \gg k_0\)), in the form

\[
\frac{\Delta(k_F)}{\mu} \approx 8\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{N_0 V(k_F,k_F)} \right\},
\]

where \(N_0 = mk_F/(2\pi^2)\) is the density of states at the Fermi level (per spin component) and \(V(k_F,k_F) = (k_0/k_F)^2 V\). In this case, the decrease of \(|V|\) in Fig. 2(a) for increasing \(k_F/k_0\) overcomes in Eq. (2.4) the increase of \(N_0\), and drives the system toward the BCS (weak-coupling) limit. For the non-separable Gaussian potential, on the other hand, it is the effective reduction of the density of states and not the decrease of the potential strength to drive the system toward the BCS limit for increasing \(k_F/k_0\) at given \(V\). To verify this statement, we recall that in the BCS limit \(E(k')\) in Eq. (2.2) is strongly peaked about \(k_F\). When \(k_F \gg k_0\), \(\Delta(k)\) is thus also strongly peaked about \(k_F\) owing to the form (2.1) of the potential. In this way, for given value of \(k\), the integral over \(k'\) in Eq. (2.2) extends effectively over a sphere centered about \(k\) with radius of the order \(k_0\). The relevant density of states gets thus reduced from the value \(N_0\) by a geometrical factor \(R\) of the order \((4\pi k_F^2/3)/(8\pi k_F^2 k_0)\), which represents the ratio of the effective volume of integration and the BCS spherical shell of width \(2k_0\). We then obtain in the BCS limit for the Gaussian potential when \(k_F \gg k_0\),

\[
\Delta(k_F) \approx \frac{k_0 k_F}{m} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{RN_0 V} \right\}.
\]

In this case, it is thus the decrease of \(R\) for increasing \(k_F\) to drive the system toward the BCS (weak-coupling) limit for a given value of \(V\).

In summary, we have shown that, although the qualitative behavior of the curves corresponding to \(k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} = 2\pi\) in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) looks similar, the physical mechanism behind them appears to be quite different. For the separable NSR potential the increase of \(k_F/k_0\) results in a reduction of the

---

**Fig. 2.** Phase diagram \(|V|/V_c, k_F/k_0\) for the (a) NSR and (b) Gaussian potentials in three dimensions (see the text for the meaning of the different curves).

\[ k_F/k_0 \] \(\approx\) phase diagram” for the (a) NSR and (b) Gaussian potentials, where the two characteristic curves \(k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} = (2\pi/1/\pi)\) for each potential have been identified as for Fig. 1. In addition, we have reported in Fig. 2 the curve corresponding to \(\mu = 0\) (broken line) for both potentials. By comparing Fig. 2 with Fig. 1, we note that the boundary between the BE-like and the crossoverlike regions is not much altered by the introduction of a finite cutoff \(k_0\); on the contrary, the boundary between the BCS-like and the crossoverlike regions is drastically modified, with the BCS-like region extending even to values \(|V| > V_c\) for sufficiently high densities. Note also the reentrant shape of the curve \(k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} = 2\pi\) at \(k_F = k_0\) for both potentials, which makes it possible to cross over from the BCS to the BE region by varying the density for fixed \(|V| > V_c\).
interaction strength, while for the nonseparable Gaussian potential it results in a reduction of the relevant density of states. These two alternative effects allow the density-induced BCS-BE crossover to occur in the two cases, respectively.

The curves of Fig. 2 have been drawn cautiously, up to values of $|V|/V_c$ for which the condition $|\mu| L k_B^2/(2m)$ is satisfied. This condition avoids, in fact, instabilities of the system in the bosonic limit, which unavoidably occur when a fermionic potential with finite momentum range $k_0$ is considered, and are due to the boson-boson effective interaction potential having a dominant attractive part in this case.\(^\text{6,17}\)

We have verified, in particular, for the Gaussian potential that the bosonic chemical potential $\mu_B=2\mu+\epsilon_0$ (where $\epsilon_0$ is the bound-state energy of the associated two-body problem\(^\text{5-6}\)) becomes negative when $|\mu| L k_B^2/m$, a behavior that can be attributed to an overall attraction among the composite bosons (with the compressibility being, however, still positive). In this context, we mention also that the existence of a competition between pair and quartet condensation in a Fermi liquid with a finite-range attraction has recently been investigated.\(^\text{18}\)

A related instability toward phase separation (with the compressibility becoming instead negative) has been generically pointed out for the attractive (extended) Hubbard model on a two-dimensional square lattice,\(^\text{11}\) which we pass now to examine in detail in the context of the BCS-BE crossover.

### III. TWO-DIMENSIONAL LATTICE CASE

In this section, we examine the BCS-BE crossover for a two-dimensional attractive Hubbard model, again addressing specifically the role played by the particle density in driving this crossover. To consider the $d$-wave besides the $s$-wave solution, we take the fermionic potential to contain an intersite besides an on-site term. In addition, for the $d$-wave solution we adopt two different single-particle dispersions, in order to mimic the low-energy electronic band structure observed for the cuprates in different doping ranges,\(^\text{19,20}\) as discussed in more detail below. We recall that the issue of the $d$-wave symmetry in the context of the BCS-BE crossover has been discussed briefly in Ref. 21 and more extensively in Refs. 11 and 22.

For this model we thus take

$$V(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') = U + 2V[\cos(k_x-k'_x) + \cos(k_y-k'_y)],$$

where $V \leq 0$. In particular, when $V=0$ we consider an on-site attraction $U < 0$, with the hopping in the kinetic term of the fermionic Hamiltonian limited to nearest-neighbor sites (which corresponds to the ordinary negative-$U$ Hubbard model\(^\text{11}\)); when $V<0$ we consider an on-site repulsion $U > 0$, with the hopping in the kinetic term of the fermionic Hamiltonian either limited to nearest-neighbor sites or ranging over second- and third-neighbor sites (which corresponds to an extended attractive Hubbard model, with an on-site repulsion\(^\text{14}\)). Recall that the term proportional to $V$ in Eq. (3.1) is associated with an attraction between opposite-spin fermions on neighboring sites in the square lattice.
for which a bound state appears in the two-body \((n = 0)\) problem consistently vanishes for the \(s\)-wave solution in two dimensions. Note also that the reentrant shape of the curve \(k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} = 2\pi\) (as well as of the curve \(k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} = \pi\)) stems now from the fact that the van Hove singularity of the density of states is approached when \(n\) tends to 1 (half-filling). [Owing to the symmetry of the density of states about half-filling, the diagram of Fig. 3(a) is also symmetric about half-filling.] Note finally that the crossover from the BCS to the BE region by varying the density for fixed \(|U|\) is possible only for \(|U| \leq 2.4t\), the BE and crossover regions being depressed in this case to extremely small values of \(n\).

It is interesting to compare the “phase diagram” of Fig. 3(a) for the two-dimensional negative-\(U\) Hubbard model, with the “phase diagram” \([\xi_0, k_F/(2m)]\) [in units of \((ma_0^2)^{-1}\), where \(a_0\) is again an arbitrary unit of length] for the contact potential in the two-dimensional continuum case, for which the analytic solution is also available.\(^{25,13}\) This “phase diagram” is shown in Fig. 3(b), where now the boundary curves with \(k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} = (2\pi, 1/\pi)\) correspond to straight lines. [We have verified numerically that the solutions to the coupled equations (2.2) and (2.3) for the negative-\(U\) Hubbard model and for the contact potential in two dimensions coincide within a few percent when \(n \leq 0.1\), even for values of \(|U|/t\) of the order of some units.] Note that the absence of a threshold for the occurrence of a bound state in two dimensions makes the density-induced BCS-BE crossover possible even for a short-range potential, in contrast to the behavior for a three-dimensional contact potential obtained previously (cf. Fig. 1).

A comment on the nature of the bosonic limit for large values of \(|U|/t\) when approaching half-filling in Fig. 3(a) is in order at this point. For the continuum case, the limit of a “dilute” gas of composite bosons can be reached for any particle density, insofar as the range of the residual bosonic interaction (or, equivalently, the size of the composite bosons) vanishes for large values of the fermionic interaction strength.\(^{21}\) In the lattice case, instead, the lattice spacing is possible for the occurrence of a finite critical overlap of the centers of mass of the composite bosons, therefore, the underlying fermionic degrees of freedom are expected to become significant again.\(^{5}\)

To make these arguments more quantitative, let us consider the following bosonlike operator:

\[
[b_0, b_0^\dagger] = \sum_{k} g(k) c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{-k\sigma}^\dagger,
\]

where \(c_{k\sigma}\) creates a fermion with wave vector \(k\) and spin \(\sigma\), \(g(k)\) represents the pair wave function, and the sum over \(k\) is limited to the Brillouin zone in the lattice case. The ensuing commutator

\[
[b_0, b_0^\dagger] = \sum_k \{g(k)^2 \left[1 - n_{k\downarrow} - n_{-k\uparrow}\right]\}
\]

with \(n_{k\sigma} = c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma}\), can be regarded as a \(c\) number provided \(\langle n_{k\sigma}\rangle \approx 1\) over the relevant set of states.\(^{26}\) The normalization condition

\[
\sum_{k, \sigma} \langle n_{k\sigma}\rangle = N
\]

\((N\) being the total number of fermions), implies that \(\langle n_{k\sigma}\rangle \gg n/2\) over a finite region of \(k\) space, whenever the range of the sum over \(k\) remains limited (as for the lattice case). This restriction, in turn, implies that the commutator (3.3) cannot be considered as a \(c\) number, as soon as \(n\) is an appreciable fraction of the unity. Note that the size of the composite bosons does not enter the above argument.

In summary, we have argued on quite general grounds that, to reach a satisfactory bosonic limit in the lattice case, the condition \(k_F\xi_{\text{pair}} < 1/\pi\) valid in the continuum case has to be supplemented by the condition \(n \ll 1\).

**B. \(d\)-wave solution**

When \(V < 0\) and \(U > 0\) in Eq. (3.1), a \(d\)-wave solution of the type \(\Delta(k) = \Delta_1 (\cos k_x - \cos k_y)\) can be considered. In this case, we take either a nearest-neighbor dispersion relation \(\xi(k) = -2t (\cos k_x + \cos k_y) + n(U + 4V)/2 - \mu\) as before (\(t-V\) model), or a second- and third-neighbor dispersion relation \(\xi(k) = 4t' \cos k_x \cos k_y + 2t' (\cos 2k_x + \cos 2k_y) + n(U + 4V)/2 - \mu\) with \(t' > 0\) and \(t'' > 0\) \((t'-t''-V\) model). In both cases we have included the Hartree shift.\(^{24}\) The latter dispersion relation favors the formation of bound pairs with \(d\)-wave symmetry at low density,\(^{25}\) the critical value \(V_c\) (for a bound state to appear in the two-body problem) vanishing when \(t'' < 0.5t'\). For the former dispersion relation limited to nearest-neighbor sites, on the other hand, a finite value of \(V_c\) occurs also for the \(d\)-wave solution at zero density.\(^{11}\)

As already mentioned, the two different single-particle dispersion relations that we have adopted are meant to mimic the low-energy electronic band structure for the cuprates in different ranges of the doping level \(\delta\). Specifically, the \((t', t'')\) dispersion captures the small-arc features of the Fermi surface detected in underdoped cuprates,\(^{19,27}\) for which we can interpret \(n \sim \delta \leq 0.15\). The \(t\) dispersion, on the other hand, reproduces the main features of the large Fermi surface and its doping dependence for nearly optimally doped cuprates,\(^{20}\) provided one interprets \(n \sim 1 - \delta\) with \(0.15 \leq \delta \leq 0.30\).

The “phase diagrams” for the \(t-V\) and \(t'-t''-V\) models are shown, respectively, in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), where the boundaries between different regions have been identified as in Fig. 3(a).\(^{28}\) Note in Fig. 4(a) the occurrence of a finite critical value \(V_c\) \((V_c/4t = 1.83)\), past which the BE region develops for \(n = 0\) \([V_c\text{ vanishes in Fig. 4(b)}]\). We also mention that the BCS-like region, corresponding to small values of \(|V|\) in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), consistently supports nonvanishing values of the gap \(\Delta_1\), contrary to a recent statement for the \(t-V\) model.\(^{22}\) Note finally, that the density-induced BCS-BE crossover is allowed for the \(t'-t''-V\) model but not for the \(t-V\) model. This finding is, in turn, consistent with our previous
assertions that the t'-t''-\(V\) model might be relevant to the underdoped range of the cuprate superconductors and that the BCS-BE crossover scenario might apply to that range.

A comment on the additional region at the upper-right corner of the “phase diagrams” in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), which is delimited by a long-dashed line and has been identified as “correlated bosons” (CB), is in order.

This region is associated with a peculiar behavior of \(\xi_{\text{pair}}\) for the \(d\)-wave solution as a function of \(|V|\) when \(n\) approaches half-filling, in the sense that \(\xi_{\text{pair}}\) does not show a monotonic decrease for increasing \(|V|\) and converges asymptotically (when \(|V| \to \infty\) and \(n < 1\)) to a finite value, which is larger than the lattice spacing.

This asymptotic value increases with \(n\) and eventually diverges as \(n \to 1\), making thus the product \(k_F \xi_{\text{pair}}\) arbitrarily large. This peculiar behavior is absent for the \(s\)-wave solution discussed previously, for which \(\xi_{\text{pair}}\) is instead a monotonically decreasing function of \(|V|\) for any given \(n\). Consistently, the CB region is missing in Fig. 3(a).] On physical grounds, the divergence of \(\xi_{\text{pair}}\) cannot be attributed either to the system converging to a BCS-like regime with large overlapping Cooper pairs or to the size of the composite bosons becoming infinitely large. Rather, the divergence of \(\xi_{\text{pair}}\) is due to the establishing of quasi-long-range-order correlations among the composite bosons, which reside individually on nearest-neighbor sites. Under these circumstances, \(\xi_{\text{pair}}\) weights preponderantly the correlation between opposite-spin fermions belonging to different composite bosons, rather than the usual intraboson correlation. Accordingly, for the \(d\)-wave solution when \(n \to 1\), \(\xi_{\text{pair}}\) no longer represents the radius of the composite bosons in the strong-coupling limit.

To make the above argument more quantitative, we recall the original definition of \(\xi_{\text{pair}}\) in terms of the two-particle correlation function: 14,6

\[
\xi_{\text{pair}}^2 = \frac{\int d\mathbf{r} g_{1,1}(\mathbf{r}) r^2}{\int d\mathbf{r} g_{1,1}(\mathbf{r})},
\]  

(3.5)

where

\[
g_{1,1}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \langle \psi_{1}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{1}(\mathbf{0}) \psi_{1}(\mathbf{0}) \psi_{1}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{n^2} \langle \Phi \mid \psi_{1}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{1}(\mathbf{0}) \mid \Phi \rangle^2
\]  

(3.6)

is the pair-correlation function for opposite spin fermions (the last expression holding specifically for the BCS ground state \(\mid \Phi \rangle\)). From this definition, it appears evident that, in principle, \(g_{1,1}(\mathbf{r})\) does not distinguish between opposite-spin fermions belonging to the same pair or to different pairs. In practice, in the strong-coupling limit \(g_{1,1}(\mathbf{r})\) represents the square of the pair wave function whenever no correlation is established among the composite bosons; in this case, \(\xi_{\text{pair}}\) tends to the bound-state radius, as one verifies for the \(s\)-wave solution. In the case that a definite correlation is established among the composite bosons, on the other hand, \(g_{1,1}(\mathbf{r})\) spreads over a large (and even infinite) number of lattice sites, and \(\xi_{\text{pair}}\) increases (and eventually diverges) accordingly. In this case, \(g_{1,1}(\mathbf{r})\) embodies the correlation among different composite bosons and is totally unrelated to the pair wave function.

The occurrence of this novel feature for the \(d\)-wave solution is evidenced in Fig. 5(a), where the amplitude

\[
\phi_{\mathbf{R}_n}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in BZ} \exp\left(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_n\right) \frac{\Delta(\mathbf{k})}{E(\mathbf{k})} \]  

(3.7)

is reported for \(|V|/t \gg 1\) and \(n = 1\) over a grid of lattice sites \(\mathbf{R}_n\) (\(N\) being the total number of sites); in this way, \(|\phi_{\mathbf{R}_n}(\xi)|^2\) represents the lattice version of \(g_{1,1}(\mathbf{r})\) given by Eq. (3.6).
We see from this figure that the amplitude (3.7) develops clear structures near the lattice diagonals, thus establishing definite correlations among opposite-spin fermions belonging to different composite bosons.\(^{29}\)

This behavior can also be checked analytically, making use of the fact that at half filling \(\mu\) equals the Hartree shift \((U+4V)/2\) and thus the single-particle dispersion \(\xi(k)\) is negligible in comparison to \(|\Delta(k)|\) when \(|V|/t \gg 1\) [barring values of \(k\) for which \(\Delta(k)\) vanishes by symmetry]. In this case

\[
\frac{\Delta(k)}{2E(k)} = \frac{1}{2|\Delta(k)|} = \frac{\text{sgn}(\cos k_x - \cos k_y)}{2} \quad (3.8)
\]

in Eq. (3.7) alternates sign according to the \(d\)-wave symmetry, and its lattice Fourier transform

\[
\phi(R_n) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{\left[1 - (-1)^{n_x + n_y}\right]}{n_x^2 - n_y^2} \quad (3.9)
\]

(with \(R_n = (n_x, n_y)\) in units of the lattice spacing) decays as a power law for increasing distance when \(n_x + n_y\) is an odd integer, while it vanishes identically when \(n_x + n_y\) is an even integer. [In contrast, for the \(s\)-wave solution \(\Delta(k)/E(k)\) tends to a constant value when \(|V|/t \gg 1\) and \(n = 1\), and the corresponding Fourier transform is a Kronecker delta \(\delta_{R_n,0}\).]

The ensuing picture bears strong resemblance with an antiferromagnetic ordering on a square lattice, with opposite spins alternating over the two interpenetrating sublattices in which the square lattice can be partitioned. The ordering of the composite bosons (in the strong-coupling limit when approaching half-filling), as envisaged from the above considerations, is shown schematically in Fig. 5(b). Note that the quasi-long-range order associated with the algebraic decay in Eq. (3.9) corresponds to a divergent \(\xi_{\text{pair}}\), even though it does not represent a true long-range antiferromagnetic order of the spins. Physically, such a strong correlation among fermions with opposite spins stems from the original fermionic attraction between opposite-spin fermions residing on nearest-neighbor sites, which corresponds to the form (3.1) of the potential. It is for these reasons that we have identified the region in the upper-right corner of Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) (delimited by a long-dashed line) as ‘‘correlated bosons’’ rather than BCS-like, even though \(k_F\xi_{\text{pair}}\) becomes definitely larger than \(2\pi\) in this region.\(^{30}\)

Another relevant difference of the \(d\)-wave from the \(s\)-wave solution, is the fact that in the BE region of Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) \((-2\mu\) does not reduce to the bound-state energy \(\epsilon_0\) of the associated two-body problem, unless \(n = 0\) strictly. This additional feature of the \(d\)-wave solution can also be associated with the augmented correlation among the composite bosons with increasing \(n\), as discussed previously. Such a correlation makes, in fact, the energy required to extract two fermions from the system different from the energy required to break up a single composite boson in isolation, owing to the additional correlation energy among the composite bosons.

The relevance of the correlation energy among the composite bosons is also suggested by the behavior of the ‘‘bosonic’’ chemical potential \(\mu_B = 2\mu + \epsilon_0\) in the BE region (delimited by \(n \approx 0.016\), irrespective of the single-particle dispersion). In this low-density region one finds \(\mu_B = (U + 2V)n\). When \(0 < U < 2|V|\), \(\mu_B\) is negative, corresponding to an effective average attraction between the composite bosons. The compressibility of the system is also negative at this order, thus indicating a tendency toward phase separation.\(^1\) When \(U > 2|V|\), on the other hand, \(\mu_B\) and the compressibility are both positive; in this case, the effective bosonic average interaction would be repulsive, with an increasing repulsion between the composite bosons as \(|V|\) (and \(U\)) increases. This situation has to be contrasted with the negative-\(U\) Hubbard model, for which the composite bosons become asymptotically free as \(|U| \to \infty\).\(^{24}\)
IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper we have examined how the particle density influences the BCS-BE crossover, by analyzing several types of fermionic interaction potentials both in three dimensions (continuum case) and in two dimensions (continuum and lattice cases). We have reached the conclusion that the finite range of the potential as well as the absence of a threshold (for a bound state to occur in the associated two-body problem) favors quite generally the density-induced crossover.

In particular, for the continuum case we have verified that in three dimensions (where a finite threshold exists in the two-body problem) it is the finite range of the potential to make the density-induced crossover possible, while in two dimensions the absence of a threshold suffices to the purpose even when a zero-range potential is considered. In addition, for the continuum case we have argued that the density-induced crossover (for a finite-range potential) occurs at arbitrary values of the interaction strength, owing to the fact that, in this case, the particle density can be augmented without bound.

For the lattice case, we have considered two dimensions only and investigated, alternatively, the absence or presence of a threshold, depending on the symmetry of the gap parameter and on the shape of the single-particle dispersion relation. We have found that the absence of a threshold definitely favors the density-induced BCS-BE crossover, and that spreading the range of the potential on the lattice makes the density-induced BCS-BE crossover to occur over a wider density range. In the presence of a threshold (at least for the specific potential extending over nearest-neighbor sites that we have considered), on the other hand, the density-induced BCS-BE crossover turned out not to be possible, owing essentially to the absence of the reentrant shape of the curve delimiting the BCS-like region. This situation contrasts our finding for the continuum case in the presence of a threshold.

In this respect, an important difference between the continuum and lattice cases appears to be the occurrence of an intrinsic upper value for the density in the lattice case, at least when one considers a simple band only. In this case, the Fermi wave vector $k_F$ cannot exceed an upper bound of the order $\pi$ (in units of the inverse of the lattice constant). For the nearest-neighbor interaction that we have considered in the lattice case, the characteristic wave vector $k_0$, too, can be taken of the order $\pi$ and the condition $k_F \gg k_0$ (which in the continuum case was associated with the reentrant shape) cannot be satisfied. Consequently, no reentrant shape of the curve delimiting the BCS-like region is expected for the lattice case. The occurrence of the reentrant shape, however, may not a priori be excluded when considering an interaction potential extending over distant neighbors in the lattice, thus decreasing $k_0$ accordingly. In any case, the occurrence of an intrinsic upper bound on the density (and thus on $k_F$) will make the BCS-like region to disappear for large enough interaction strength, thus preventing the density-induced BCS-BE crossover.

We have also found that the presence of an upper bound on the density in the lattice case and the finite size of the composite bosons for the $d$-wave solution induce definite correlations among the composite bosons, giving rise to a magnetic correlated state near half-filling. The simultaneous presence of superconducting off-diagonal long-range order and of antiferromagnetic correlations without long-range order, which we have found for the $d$-wave solution, represents per se an appealing result, in the light of the current phenomenology of the cuprate superconductors. The interesting physics that has emerged for the $d$-wave solution in the lattice case may then provide a general framework for future investigations on the BCS-BE crossover, for instance, considering finite-temperature effects and going beyond the mean-field approximation. In this respect, facing a proper treatment of the residual boson-boson interaction in the BE region seems to be unavoidable, not only in the three-dimensional continuum case (as shown already in Ref. 17), but especially in the two-dimensional lattice case for the $d$-wave solution, where the boson-boson interaction gets considerably enhanced.

Finally, we point out that the need of a reduced spatial dimensionality and of a finite range of the potential, which we have found for the density-induced BCS-BE crossover to occur, matches the generic features observed for the cuprate superconductors and strengthen accordingly the BCS-BE crossover as a possible scenario for describing the evolution from overdoped to underdoped cuprates.
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10It is, in principle, possible for the attractive potential to vary with carrier density. (This effect can be found, e.g., near a quantum critical point driven by the carrier density itself [C. Castellani, C. Di Castro, and M. Grilli, Z. Phys. B 103, 137 (1997)].) Knowledge, however, of the form of the interparticle potential and of its dependence on carrier density rests on an explicit microscopic theory, which is beyond the scope of the present crossover approach. By this approach, in fact, the interparticle potential is parametrized in a rather simple form, independent
from the particle density.


16. Quite generally, it can be shown that the curves $k_F k_{pa} \approx \text{const}$: (i) fill up the plane ($|V|/|V_p|=k_F k_{pa}$); (ii) never intersect each other; and (iii) all depart from the point ($|V|/|V_p|=1, k_F =0$).


24. For the negative-$U$ Hubbard model, the Hartree shift $nU/2$ makes the chemical potential to coincide with $-\epsilon_0/2$ in the bosonic (strong-coupling) limit, irrespective of the value of $n$ (in such a way that the bosonic chemical potential $\mu_B = 2\mu + \epsilon_0$ vanishes in the limit—cf. the result for $\mu$ given in Ref. 11). (Recall instead that for the continuum case the inclusion of the Hartree shift is not required.) Accordingly, the physical picture that emerges in the strong-coupling limit would correspond to a system of noninteracting composite bosons (with vanishing size), although near half-filling this elementary picture is not completely satisfactory owing to the overlapping argument discussed in the text. In any event, we shall consider the negative-$U$ Hubbard model as the reference model for the BCS-BE crossover in the lattice case, akin to the contact potential in the continuum case. For the alternative model considered in the lattice case (with an attraction between nearest-neighbor sites), the same procedure of adding the Hartree shift will consistently be adopted.


26. A similar commutator has been considered by J. R. Schrieffer, Theory of Superconductivity (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1964), Sec. 2.4.


28. For the $t''$-$V$ model the density of states is no longer symmetric about half-filling. Nevertheless, in Fig. 4(b) we have considered $0 \leq n \leq 1$ only, because the remaining values of $n$ are of no physical interest.

29. Although the $t''$-$V$ model lacks the particle-hole symmetry of the $t$-$V$ model, when $|V|$ is large enough the kinetic term of the Hamiltonian becomes immaterial and the $t''$-$V$ model behaves like the $t$-$V$ model. The results shown in Fig. 5 hold, therefore, for both models.

30. It can be verified that the quasi-long-range-order state with antiferromagnetic correlations discussed in the text (which was obtained from the BCS state in the limit $|V| \rightarrow \infty$ and $n \rightarrow 1$) has higher energy than the Neél state (which is an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian in this limit and exhibits true long-range order). Let $H = -\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} n_i n_{\bar{j}}$, where $\langle i,j \rangle$ runs over all pairs of nearest-neighbor sites and the kinetic energy has been neglected in the limit. It can then be verified that $\langle H \rangle$ is given by $-N(1 + 16/\pi^4)|V|$ for the BCS state and by $-N2|V|$ for the Neél state. This difference can be ascribed to the fact that the BCS state orders the composite bosons by condensing them in momentum space, while a more appropriate description should correspond to the composite bosons being ordered in real space [as depicted in Fig. 5(b)]. An improved wave function (over and above the BCS state) is thus required to describe the composite bosons in the limit $|V| \rightarrow \infty$ and $n \rightarrow 1$. 